

Assessment of the Feasible Utilization of a Chemotherapy Toxicity Risk Score for Geriatric Patients with Cancer in a Community Cancer Clinic Eduardo Manzano¹ and Jared D. Acoba, MD² ¹The Queen's Medical Center, Summer Research Intern, ²University of Hawaii Cancer Center

Background

- An increasing number of patients diagnosed with cancer are \geq 65 years old (Wildiers et al., 2014).
- Older patients are more susceptible to chemotherapy toxicity due to having dealt with comorbidities and social determinants of health. These comorbidities can further complicate the treatment and diagnosis of cancer increasing the risk for mortality (Mohile et al., 2018).
- In addition, the prevalence of specific comorbidities may be higher in certain racial groups that could lead to poor treatment outcomes (Mohile et al., 2018).
- Recent studies suggest that a newly developed tool called the Chemotherapy Toxicity Risk Score (CTRS) is able to predict a patient's risk for chemotherapy toxicity (Nishijima et al., 2018).
- This tool has been validated in academic cancer center among a predominantly white cohort of patients.
- Our goals are to 1) determine the feasibility of utilizing the CTRS in a community cancer clinic, and 2) assess the applicability of the tool in a multiethnic patient population.

Objectives

- Evaluate CTRS ability to predict NCI CTCAE grade 3-5 toxicity.
- Assess the Feasibility of implementing CTRS in a community cancer clinic through the measurement of workload on clinical staff.

Eligibility Criteria

- Age \geq 65 years
- Solid tumor
- Starting new Chemotherapy regimen, first or subsequent line receiving chemotherapy given by a Hawaii Oncology physician at Queen's Physician Office Building 1, Kuakini Medical Plaza, Queen's Cancer Center, or a medical or gynecological oncologist at Queen's Cancer Center.
- Able to read and write in English
- Life expectancy \geq 3 months
- Able to give full consent without Assistance
- Concurrent radiation is not allowed

Eligible Treatments:

Intravenous cytotoxic chemotherapy, Combination of chemotherapy and immunotherapy, and Oral cytotoxic chemotherapy

Ineligible Treatments:

Targeted therapy, Immunotherapy without chemotherapy, Hormone therapy



• MD is blinded to score



CTRS Questionnaire Scoring and Score Categorization

Variable	Value/Response	Score
Age of patient	≥ 72 years	2
	< 72 years	0
Cancer type	GI or GU cancer	2
	Other cancer types	0
Planned chemotherapy dose	Standard dose	2
	Dose reduced upfront	0
Planned No. of chemotherapy	Polychemotherapy	2
drugs	Monochemotherapy	0
Hemoglobin	< 11 g/dL (male), < 10 g/dL (female)	3
	≥ 11 g/dL (male), ≥ 10 g/dL (female)	0
Creatinine clearance (Jeliffe,	< 34 mL/min	3
ideal weight)	≥ 34 mL/min	0
How is your hearing (with	Fair, poor, or totally deaf	2
a hearing aid, if needed)?	Excellent or good	0
No. of falls in the past	≥ 1	3
6 months	None	0
Can you take your own	With some help/unable	1
medicine?	Without help	0
Does your health limit you	Somewhat limited/limited a lot	2
in walking one block?	Not limited at all	0
During the past 4 weeks, how much of the time has your	Limited some of the time, most of the time, or all of the time	1
physical health or emotional problems interfered with your social activities (like visiting with friends, relatives, etc)?	Limited none of the time or a little of the time	0

Age	Gender	Ethnicity	Diagnosis	Chemotherapy dose	CTRS	MD PS	CTCAE ≥ 3	ER/ Hosp
91	Female	Japanese	Ovarian	Reduced	16	1	Yes	No
73	Female	Japanese	Ovarian	Standard	9	0	NA	No
79	Female	Japanese	Ovarian	Standard	9	1	NA	No
65	Female	Hawaiian	Endometrial	Standard	11	1	Yes	No
77	Male	Chinese	Lung	Standard	13	2	NA	Yes
74	Male	Chinese	Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma	Reduced	9	1	NA	Yes
66	Male	Samoan	Lung	Standard	13	2	Yes	No
76	Male	Filipino	Head and Neck	Reduced	4	0	NA	Yes

Table 1: Characteristics of Patients Enrolled. (CTRS: Chemotherapy Toxicity Risk score, PS: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status by Physician, CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, ER: Emergency Room, Hosp: Hospitalization).

Study Design and Chemotherapy Toxicity Risk Score

During treatment:

- Pt completes Patient Reported Outcomes,
 - standardized assessment of side effects
- MD and research staff complete CTCAE form,
 - standardized grading of side effects

Total Risk	%Risk	
Low Risk	0 to 3	25
	4 to 5	32
Mid Risk	6 to 7	50
	8 to 9	54
High Risk	10 to 11	77
	≥12	89

Results

1.	Wildier Hurria,
2.	Assess <u>https://</u> Mohile Hurria,
3.	Receiv <u>https://</u> Smith,
4.	Cance <i>Clinica</i> Nishijir
	Chemo Tumor

Special thanks to Lori Tsue and Sherry Chan for coordinating the Queen's Summer Research Internship and for organizing all activities such as shadowing, guest lectures, and tours. Also special thanks to the staff at the Queen's cancer center and Physician's Office Building One for all the support and aid in the project so far.



Sample size and endpoints:

- 55 patients
- CTRS AUC 0.70, 80% power, α=0.05
- CTRS completion time of <5 minutes

Discussion and Conclusion

• The study is on going, we continue to collect data and accrue patients.

• We are enrolling a racially diverse population as opposed to the homogeneous

populations in published studies evaluating CTRS.

• Age of the patients currently enrolled ranges from 65-91 years with the majority falling between the ages of 65-77 years of age. Cancer diagnosis of patients range were diverse.

• In both the female and male groups the oldest and youngest were at high risk. One challenge has been tracking patient follow up as adverse events could happen at any time, and may be reported between chemotherapy follow-up visits.

One success is that patients have been able to complete the CTRS and the other data collection forms independently.

References

ers, H., Heeren, P., Puts, M., Topinkova, E., Janssen-Heijnen, M. L. G., Extermann, M., … A. (2014). International Society of Geriatric Oncology Consensus on Geriatric sment in Older Patients With Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 32(24), 2595–2603. //doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2013.54.8347 e, S. G., Dale, W., Somerfield, M. R., Schonberg, M. A., Boyd, C. M., Burhenn, P. S., A. (2018). Practical Assessment and Management of Vulnerabilities in Older Patients ving Chemotherapy: ASCO Guideline for Geriatric Oncology. Journal of Clinical Oncology /doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2018.78.8687 B. D., Smith, G. L., Hurria, A., Hortobagyi, G. N., & Buchholz, T. A. (2009). Future of r Incidence in the United States: Burdens Upon an Aging, Changing Nation. Journal of al Oncology. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2008.20.8983 ma, T. F., Deal, A. M., Williams, G. R., Sanoff, H. K., Nyrop, K. A., & Muss, H. B. (2018). notherapy Toxicity Risk Score for Treatment Decisions in Older Adults with Advanced Solid ors. The Oncologist, 23(5), 573–579. <u>https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2017-0559</u>

Acknowledgements